# SEPHARDIC INSTITUTE

511 Ave. R Brooklyn, NY 11223-2093 Rabbi Moshe Shamah, Director 718 998 8171 Fax: 718 375 3263 Rabbi Ronald Barry, Administrator

בס"ד

# Parashat Shemini Part I Leviticus 9

#### 1. Introduction

In Leviticus 8 the Torah detailed the fulfillment of the seven-day rites of priestly ordination and pointed out that they were performed in accordance with the instructions G-d had commanded Moses (mostly transmitted in Exodus 29). With the public summoned to the entrance of the Tent of Meeting, Moses had Aaron and his sons washed and dressed in their appropriate priestly vestments, then sanctified them and their garments as well as the Tabernacle and its articles. He offered the relevant sacrifices, carried out the atonement services for the new priests and for the sacrificial altar\* and performed several other rituals. He instructed the priests to remain in the area of the entrance to the Tent of Meeting for seven days, day and night, and concluded his instructions on the note of how vital it was to be careful with sanctuary details: "And you shall guard Hashem's charge that you not die, for thus was I commanded" (Lev. 8:35). (The gravity of deviation was illustrated in the Nadab and Abihu narrative shortly afterwards.) Chapter 8 concludes with the statement that Aaron and his sons fulfilled everything according to instructions.

In the first verse of *Parashat Shemini* (9:1) Moses summons Aaron, his sons and the elders of Israel for the ceremonial rites of the eighth day. These rites would formally dedicate the Tabernacle, officially install Aaron and his sons in their priestly positions and usher in the climax of the whole process, a public manifestation of G-d's glory and demonstration of His acceptance of the service of Israel and its priests. In contrast to the previous seven days that focused only on the priests, the eighth days includes sacrifices on behalf of the people.

During the seven days of priestly initiation, Moses served as priest; now, on the eighth day, Aaron and his sons officiated, the former as high priest. The day's service included  $h \square attat$ , 'olah, shelamim and

 $minh \square a$ , each category of offering except asham, associated with certain transgressions and is never brought as a communal offering. Although the  $h \square attat$  is a purification sacrifice also associated with certain types of wrongdoing (Lev. 4), Torah legislation widely prescribes it for various public occasions even when no transgression is mentioned. This may reflect the concept that when dealing with the public a certain degree of unintentional transgression is assumed to inevitably have occurred and should be expiated, rendering cleansing from sin appropriate at all national ceremonies. But as we will point out shortly it appears that there is a more direct purpose for the sin-offering of the eighth day.

The twelve days of altar dedication ceremonies performed by the tribal chieftains also took place at this time, but is not mentioned in the book of Leviticus. The chieftains' participation in Tabernacle dedicatory rites are recorded in the book of Numbers: נְיְהָי בְּיוֹם כַּלוֹת מֹשֶׁה לְהָקִים אֶת הַמִּשְׁכָּו...וַיַּקְרִיבוּ נְשִׂיאֵי יִשְׂרָאֵל ("And on the day that Moses had finished setting up the Tabernacle...the chieftains of Israel brought forth..." [Num. 7:1-2]). We will soon discuss possible explanations as to why those proceedings were not narrated together with the account of our chapter. The chieftains' offerings also included each category of sacrifice except asham.

("as Hashem commanded Moses"), or an ever-so-slightly modified variant of it, is attested eight times in the two-chapter unit that spans the eight days. It had been attested seven times in conjunction with the initiation rites of the seven days in the previous chapter (Lev. 8:4, 9, 13, 17, 21, 29, 36, a detail of particular significance given that the seven days were not narrated individually) and appears the eighth time in verse 10 of our chapter. (Moses' usage of part of this phrase in verse 7 was a request to Aaron to comply, not a narration of compliance, and does not enter into the count.)

It should be recalled that in the Exodus section that recorded the fashioning of the priestly vestments and concluded the account of construction of the Tabernacle (Exod. 39:1-32), this "as Hashem commanded Moses" formula also appeared exactly eight times, indicating the people were sincerely interested in covenant renewal after the covenant nullification of the golden calf.

Furthermore, the section that follows shortly afterwards that describes the assembly of the Tabernacle comprises eight distinct subsections (Exod. 40:17-33). Each of the first seven also concludes with the identical formula of "as Hashem commanded Moses" and at first the reader is surprised by the incongruity of its absence in the closing of the eighth subsection, the final words of which are, "and Moses completed the work." However, that verse directly flows into a coda that closes the book of Exodus, and which begins with, "And the cloud covered the Tent of Meeting and Hashem's glory filled the Tabernacle" (v. 34). At the conclusion of the project, rather than repeat the expected "as Hashem commanded Moses," the Torah goes a step better and provides a commentary on the Tabernacle project, informing instead that G-d accepted the nation's endeavors and the covenant renewal was ratified. (See our *Parashat Pekudei Part I* study.)

The first six verses of our *parasha* comprise an introductory subsection dealing with the preliminary procedures of the eighth day before the account of the substantial rites begins. Moses instructs Aaron, his sons and the elders to gather all the animals and flour that will be required for the day's services. They do so and assemble before the Tent of Meeting, whereupon Moses proclaims that the purpose of the ensuing ceremony is that G-d's glory should appear to them.

This preliminary unit contains exactly eighty words and the eightieth word is the Tetragrammaton, further highlighting the covenantal dimension.

The total number of offerings prescribed for the eighth-day rites was eight: one  $h \square attat$  and one 'olah for Aaron and one  $h \square attat$ , two 'olot, two shelamim and one  $minh \square a$  for the Israelites.

## 2. Linkage with the Golden Calf

Most, but not all, of the directives for the seven-day initiation rites had been provided in Exodus 29, at the conclusion of instructions for Tabernacle construction and priestly vestments. Several details described in the fulfillment procedures of Leviticus 8, however, had not been specified there or anywhere else. The most conspicuous of the chapter is that there had been no previous mention of the requirement that the priests were to remain at the entrance to the Tent of Meeting for the seven days and seven nights of initiation. When Moses informed the priests of it, he added, "for thus was I commanded" (Lev. 8:35), alluding to the fact that it was not mentioned previously, but that it was to be understood as part and parcel of the instructions. This is not a surprising matter; it is not unusual for Moses to reveal a detail of law that had not been mentioned when the Torah had earlier related the details of G-d's transmission of that subject to him. For instance, when relating the laws of the Sabbath to the Israelites in Parashat Vayakhel (Exod. 35:3), Moses presents the making of fire as a primary case of the Sabbath prohibition of work, a matter not mentioned in the text of G-d's transmittal to him of the corresponding Sabbath passage. Why a particular detail was not mentioned with the previous instructions requires explanation, an issue we are not here addressing. But this is only as regards a detail, such as remaining at the entrance to the Tent of Meeting for the seven days.

Much more surprising is that prior to the Leviticus 9 account of the eighth-day ceremony there was no advance indication of any aspect of it. This is much more than a detail; it is the major dedication service of the Tabernacle, the culmination of the seven days of initiation as well as of the whole Tabernacle enterprise. Once it is known to have been prescribed, the seven preceding days are "transformed" by it into days of preparation and rehearsal. In light of Leviticus 9, the relatively lengthy instructions concerning the

seven days of priestly ordination rites prescribed in Exodus 29 seem incomplete without some reference to the ceremony that brings the whole Tabernacle project to a climax. The same may be said for the last chapter of Exodus in which the priestly initiation ceremonies and anointing and sanctifying of the Tabernacle and its vessels were summarized without any mention of the eighth-day ceremony that was to be the culmination of the procedures being described (Exod. 40:1-16).

The reader might very well have thought that with the conclusion of the seven days' rites the priests' installation was complete; they were then to perform the daily service without any further official ceremony specifically intended to bring revelation of the divine presence. Any "altar inauguration" necessary beyond the seven days' procedures may very well have been thought to be satisfied with the chieftains' sacrifices that are described in the section that begins "And it was on the day that Moses completed assembly of the Tabernacle" (Num. 7), although the chieftains participation was also not previously indicated.

Thus, the Torah treats the eighth day very differently from the seven days, and why it was not previously alluded to requires a fundamental explanation.

As discussed in previous studies, there is significant evidence supporting the view that the Tabernacle instructions beginning in Exodus 25 and extending through chapter 29 were presented in chronological order; that is, they preceded the golden calf. However, the evidence that some related items and rituals were added afterwards is compelling (particularly as concerns the incense altar and the half-shekel atonement payment associated with the census-taking that appear in chapter 30). It appears that in similar fashion, at some point subsequent to the golden calf episode, the eighth-day dedication ceremony was added to the previously prescribed seven-day initiation procedures to enrich the Tabernacle program that had been prescribed prior to the transgression of covenant annulment. This is reflected in the symbolic change from the prominent use of the number seven, the previous indication of ideality and completeness (well known from the ancient Near East, and subsequently representative of the "old order" in Israel), to the new covenantal status represented by the number eight. This is a transformation deeply embedded in the structure of the Torah as we have pointed out a number of times in these studies based on Rabbi S. D. Sassoon's work.

(An analogous explanation may be applicable to the *Shemini Asseret* festival following *Sukkot* that is prescribed in Leviticus 23:36 and Numbers 29:35-38. It appears that at some point that eighth-day festival was added to the sanctuary program as an expansion of the Deuteronomy 16 prescription of a seven-day *Sukkot* festival. In the latter context the eighth day is not mentioned whatsoever. The purpose of *Shemini Asseret* was to subsume or transform the previous standard related to the symbolism associated with the number seven into the new covenantal dimension associated with the number eight. It appears that Torah legislation that postdates the Tabernacle enriched at least some Deuteronomy legislation that was formulated prior to the Tabernacle.)

In our Leviticus 9 passage, after prescribing the particulars for the eighth-day sacrifices, Moses states, "This is the thing that Hashem has commanded you to do so that the glory of Hashem may appear to you" (Lev. 9:6). This statement indicates that these instructions were something separate, not part of the original Tabernacle program, as Moses referred to them as being a distinct item, זֶה הַּדְּבָּר ("This is the thing"). In addition, by not employing the past tense "as I was commanded," as he did in conjunction with a detail of the previous seven days that he had revealed for the first time (8:35), it is more consistent with understanding the eighth-day ceremony as a later addition to the earlier instructions.

Aaron's  $h \square attat$  offering for the eighth day contains an anomaly in that he was specifically required to bring a calf for the occasion of his inauguration as high priest. This is contrary to the expected bull of the herd, which is the standard prescription for the high priest's  $h \square attat$  sacrifice in all other cases specified in the Torah, namely, for unintentional sins (Lev. 4:3), for the seven days of ordination (8:2) and for the Yom Kippur service (16:3). The sages in Mekhilta d'Miluim explained that the choice of a calf was in expiation for the golden calf episode, in which Aaron had played a significant role. Many, including Maimonides (Guide, 3:46), took this explanation as very possibly the peshat. The fact that not a single calf had been prescribed for the preceding seven days of priestly ordination further supports the view that only the eighth day ceremony was added after the golden calf,

not the seven days of ordination and the greater part of the Tabernacle program prescribed in Exodus 25 ff. (See our study on *Parashat Terumah Part I*.)

### 3. A Note on Chronology

There is a Tannaitic controversy as regards the date of the eighth day of our *parasha*, the day on which formal Tabernacle service began. The sages (*Sifre Naso* 7:145) took the eighth day to be the day the Tabernacle was officially set up, the first day of the first month of the second year from the Exodus (Exod. 40:17). They viewed the preceding seven days of initiation to have been a time of rehearsal, preparatory for the big occasion, with the Tabernacle only tentatively set up during those days to accommodate the relevant procedures. According to them, the chapter 8 description of the initiation procedures flashes back to a point seven days prior to the conclusion of Exodus.

Rabbi Aqiba (based on his position in b. Sukkah 25b, Sifre Beha'alotekha 9:19) views the eighth day as the eighth day of the month. The seven days of initiation that preceded it commenced on the day the Tabernacle was first set up, the first day of the first month of the second year, the day on which the book of Leviticus may be presumed to have opened. Ibn Ezra interprets the chronology of these days in accordance with this latter opinion.

We may add that the fact that our *parasha* opens with reference to the occasion as the eighth day and only that, and then focuses on its ceremonies without in any manner alluding to its being Day 1 of the official Tabernacle assembly, lends support to the view of Rabbi Aqiba. Had the seven previous days preceded the official Tabernacle assembly and this was Day 1, the day that had long been awaited, we would have expected an acknowledgment that it was that special day (with a statement such as found in Exodus 40:17). Although there are other considerations, it appears that the preferred reading is to view these chapters as in chronological order.

#### 4. Conclusion of the Service

Upon concluding his sacrificial service of the day, Aaron blessed the people and "stepped down from having performed the  $h \square attat$ , the 'olah and the shelamim" (Lev. 9:22). Presumably, it was now the

moment to look toward the revelation of Hashem's glory as predicted by Moses (vv. 4, 6), since the prescribed service was apparently completely and faithfully concluded. However, there was no manifestation of anything special. Moses and Aaron then entered the Tent of Meeting (v. 23), exited it, and together blessed the people. At that point, G-d's glory appeared to the people. A fire emanated from before Hashem (either referring to the Tent of Meeting or the heavens) and consumed the sacrificial articles that had been placed on the altar. What would normally take hours of burning was accomplished in an instant. Witnessing a supernatural phenomenon indicating that G-d accepted their service, the people shouted for joy and prostrated themselves.

The text does not furnish any explanation for Moses and Aaron having entered the Tent of Meeting when they did. Some sages (y. Ta'an. 4) suggest that this entry was to perform the incense service, a service that was required to be performed each morning and evening, but the details of which Moses presumably had not as yet transmitted to Aaron. However, why would the text not mention that Aaron then performed the incense service? Others view such entry as proper protocol, namely, a sign of obeisance, a bow to G-d at the conclusion of the service (see m. Tamid 7). But why would the text not mention that they prostrated themselves and then exited?

Another view (in accordance with *Mekhilta d'Miluim*) focuses on the circumstance of their awaiting a divine response at that moment: they entered the Tent of Meeting to pray that G-d should accept their service. Having completed the appropriate rituals, it was necessary to ask G-d to respond favorably. Contrary to pagan concepts of worship, divine response to ritual is not automatic, based on human beings fulfilling procedures according to formula. Prayer to G-d was required and He would then choose to act as He saw fit.

Why was a second blessing of the people necessary, this time with Moses' participation? Some have assumed that Hashem's glory was not to appear until Moses, who had been high priest for the previous seven days, joined Aaron in the blessing. Perhaps Aaron, who played a role in the golden calf episode, was receiving his final expiation in these eighth-day rites (as per the *Mekhilta*'s explanation for his having to bring a calf for  $h\Box attat$ ), and could not as yet merit

bringing about Hashem's manifestation without Moses joining him in prayer and blessing. It should be noted that the word for Moses and Aaron entering the Tent of Meeting is in singular (וַיָּבא), referring to Moses who was mentioned first and who still was the crucial participant in the services at that moment, while the word for exiting (וַיִּצְאוֹיִ) is in plural.

In our context, the wording of the priestly blessing was not specified, but it is possible (as assumed in the Sifra) that the priestly blessing spelled out in Numbers 6:22-27 refers to this blessing. The mention of blessing and the revelation of Hashem's glory in our context conclude the Leviticus account of the Tabernacle dedication. The Nadab and Abihu episode that is attached was unanticipated. Following it, Leviticus turns to its legislative program and does not return to the subject of the inauguration. Hence, when the account of Tabernacle dedication was to resume in the book of Numbers with the altar inauguration ceremonies of the chieftains, the appropriate subject with which to introduce the resumption was the priestly blessing that had concluded the inauguration ceremonies in Leviticus. The appearance of the priestly blessing in Numbers is unanticipated and signaled that a new subject is beginning. The account of the chieftains' offerings that began "on the day that Moses had finished setting up the Tabernacle" (Num. 7:1) immediately follows.

Why was the account of Tabernacle dedication seemingly curtailed by omitting the account of the chieftains' altar inauguration offerings, which took place during the same time frame? Why was it not resumed in Leviticus? Perhaps the explanation is that priestly consecration was critical to the sanctuary program; once the account of it was concluded, Leviticus moved on with its all-important agenda. The chieftains, members of the nobility and political leaders, were not vital to the purpose of the sanctuary so their role was deferred. In other words, the nobility and political leaders were de-emphasized, if not demoted from what their status had previously been.

This is consistent with the  $h \square attat$  legislation of Leviticus 4. There, it is specified that for a transgression of the anointed priest as well as for that of the congregation (under the aegis of the elders), a bull is brought. Both of these require the elaborate purification procedures of sprinkling from the sacrifice's blood on the *parokhet* curtain and the two altars and incinerating the carcass outside the camp. For a chieftain's transgression, however, only a goat with standard rites is prescribed.

Another possibility is that the account of the chieftains' dedicatory offerings may have been omitted in Leviticus because, after the tragedy of Nadab and Abihu, it would have been indelicate to continue narration of the joyous ceremony. The Torah moved on with its agenda and returned to it at the appropriate time. In our study on *Parashat Naso Part II* we will revisit this issue and adduce support for the first explanation.

#### **Endnote**

\* Aaron and his sons may have required atonement for whatever sins they may have committed in the past, providing them a clean slate upon assuming the priesthood. But what does atonement for the newly established sacrificial altar – and only for that article, not for the Tabernacle itself or for any other of its furnishings - represent? Perhaps it symbolized atonement for the Israelites' sinful sacrificing on various altars in the past, in whatever context it was. Of course, the golden calf comes to mind, but these atonement prescriptions are also mentioned in Exodus 29 as part of the main sanctuary instructional section, which very likely preceded the golden calf (a position we will reinforce in discussing the ritual of the eighth day). In Leviticus 17:7 mention is made of the se'irim (goat-demons) to which the Israelites had been wont to sacrifice.

©2010 Sephardic Institute